QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS BY POTENTIAL BIDDERS FOR RFQ #2024-01 (Used Cars)

Question 1. Do you prefer all-wheel drive?

Answer 1. All wheel drive is not necessary, but the court does not have a preference.

Question 2. Are you open to considering new vehicles, or would you prefer pre-owned options?

Answer 2. The court will consider all quotes, including new vehicles, but cautions that new vehicles may be cost-prohibitive. You are welcome to include new vehicles along with late model used cars if you would like to provide multiple options in your quote.

Question 3. What price range are you looking to stay within?

Answer 3. The court cannot pay more than fair market value.

Question 4. [P]er your attachment A, looking for Accord or Camry like newer unit that gets a combined 38 MPG city/highway, upon research the

2024 Toyota Camry gets

Projected EPA-estimated 28 city/39 hwy/32 combined mpg rating for 2024 Camry LE, SE and SE Nightshade Edition; 27 city/38 hwy/31 combined mpg rating for 2024 Camry XLE 4-Cylinder and XSE 4-Cylinder; 22 city/33 hwy/26 combined mpg rating for 2024 Camry XLE V6; 22 city/32 hwy/26 combined mpg

2024 Honda Accord gets

*29 city/37 highway/32 combined mpg rating for LX and EX

Just looking for clarification on the 38 mpg combined requirement, as neither of these base model units hit that criteria.

Answer 4. The Superior Court follows the requirements of the Judicial Branch Contracting Manual (https://www4.courts.ca.gov/documents/jbcl-manual.pdf). Procurement rules require that vehicles purchased pursuant to the procurement process meet the requirements of State Administrative Manual section 3620.1

(https://www.dgs.ca.gov/Resources/SAM/TOC/3600/3620-1). That section sets forth a minimum miles per gallon standard for the combined annual purchases of vehicles.